



CITY OF CHARLESTON BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS – SITE DESIGN

PUBLIC COMMENT FEBRUARY 7, 2024

A meeting of the BZA-SD will be held on **Wednesday, February 7, 2024 at 5:00 p.m.** in the **Public Meeting Room, First Floor, 2 George Street.**

The following written comments will be provided to the board members 24 hours in advance of the meeting and also be acknowledged into the record and summarized. The public is encouraged to attend the meeting in person to speak in order for comments to be fully heard.

Application information is available at www.charleston-sc.gov/bza-sd. Please check the website on the meeting date to view any withdrawn or deferred agenda items.

For additional information, please contact:
DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING, PRESERVATION & SUSTAINABILITY
2 George Street, Suite 3100, Charleston, SC 29401 | 843-724-3781

1. 813 Dupont Road & 804 Orleans Road

West Ashley | TMS # 351-13-00-047 & 067 | Zoned: GB

Request a variance from Sec 54-327 to allow the removal of ten grand trees.

Request a special exception from Sec 54-327 to allow the removal of five grand trees.

Request a variance from Sec 54-330 to allow a reduced impervious setback near the bases of eleven grand trees.

Request a variance from Sec 54-327 to omit the 15 protected trees per acre requirement.

Owner: Palas Holdings, LLC

Applicant: Kellum Engineering

No Comments Submitted

2. Bees Ferry Road & West Ashley Circle

West Ashley | TMS # 305-08-00-060 | Zoned: GP

Request a variance from Sec 54-327 to allow the removal of nine grand trees.

Request a special exception from Sec 54-327 to allow the removal of six grand trees.

Request a variance from Sec 54-330 to allow a reduced impervious setback near the bases of 19 grand trees.

Request a variance from Sec 54-327 to omit the 15 protected trees per acre requirement.

Owner: Whitfield Construction Company

Applicant: Kimley-Horn

No Comments Submitted

3. 806 Magnolia Road

Magnolia | TMS # 418-09-00-030 | Zoned: SR-2

Request a variance from Sec 54-327 to allow the removal of two grand trees.

Request a special exception from Sec 54-327 to allow the removal of one grand tree.

Owner: STYO Development

Applicant: Todd Richardson, PLA

Two (2) Comments Submitted:

- **Lyndsey Handschiegel, 755 Clearview Dr**
Submitted on Innovate on Feb. 5, 2024 3:48 PM

I oppose the removal of any of the grand oaks on this property. Those trees make the neighborhood what it is and why it is desirable to live there. It is part of our history as a city and it would be an irreversible mistake to take them down.

- **Jennifer Nance, 3 Jerry Drive**
Submitted on Innovate on Feb. 6, 2024 9:05 AM

I live behind the property in question. These grand oaks add character to the neighborhood and it is a shame to see them go for the sake of development AND privacy. I can understand if they are sick or dying but otherwise there's no reason for them to be removed. There is a reason they are protected correct? If so then why does it seem to be so easy to have them removed? I'm seeing this happen all over a beautiful city and it's just a crying shame.