REZONINGS

1. 3, 5, 7 and 9 Cunningham Ave (Magnolia Cemetery – Peninsula) TMS # 4641400119 and 139 – approx. 1.16 acres. Request rezoning from Light Industrial (LI) to Upper Peninsula (UP) and from the 2.5 Story Old City Height District to the 4-12 Story Old City Height District.

No written comments submitted.

2. 24 N Market St (Downtown – Peninsula) TMS # 4580504023, 031 and 029 – approx. 0.67 acre. Request rezoning from 3 Story and WP Old City Height District to 4 Story Old City Height District.

No written comments submitted.

3. 77 and 75 Washington St (Ports Area - Peninsula) TMS # 4591302004 and 005 – approx. 0.35 acre. Request rezoning from General Business (GB) to Mixed-Use/Workforce Housing (MU-2/WH).

No written comments submitted.

4. 8 Charlotte St (Ports Area - Peninsula) TMS # 4591302009 approx. 0.22 acre. Request rezoning from General Business (GB) to Mixed-Use/Workforce Housing (MU-2/WH).

No written comments submitted.

5. 2003 Herbert St (Four Mile/Hibernian Heights - Peninsula) TMS # 4640200046 approx. 0.26 acre. Request rezoning from General Business (GB) to Business Park (BP).

No written comments submitted.

6. property on Clements Ferry Rd (Jack Primus - Cainhoy Peninsula) a portion of TMS # 2680000133 approx. 105.11 acre. Request rezoning from Light Industrial (LI) to Single-Family Residential (SR-2).

No written comments submitted.

SUBDIVISION PROPERTY CONVERSION

1. 0 Castlereagh Rd (Hemmingwood - West Ashley) TMS# 3530200167 – 0.28 ac. Request under Section 54-815 of the Zoning Code to convert the parcel from common area/park parcel (reserve parcel) to a building site for a single-family residence.

Two (2) comments submitted in opposition.
Kerri Kennedy, 1711 Eallystockert Rd: This property was set up at the time of the subdivision build. It was set aside as common area where the subdivision could establish a park or meeting area. Since then, the property has changed hands via tax sales. The land is partially wetland, and floods during heavy rain. The subdivision residents have reached out many times to the city in regards to the current owner, but we have never received any action on the property. Converting it to a single home property will eliminate the only green space left in our neighborhood, and prevents any future neighborhood association from making the land usable space as was indicated in the initial covenants of the neighborhood. We have been working to establish a neighborhood association through assistance from West Ashley Connects.

Kailee Place, 1703 Eallystockert Rd: I oppose the proposed change. This property was set up at the time of the subdivision build. It was set aside as common area where the subdivision could establish a park or meeting area. Since then, the property has changed hands via tax sales. The land is partially wetland, and floods during heavy rain. The subdivision residents have reached out many times to the city in regards to the current owner, but we have never received any action on the property. Converting it to a single home property will eliminate the only green space left in our neighborhood, and prevents any future neighborhood association from making the land usable space as was indicated in the initial covenants of the neighborhood. We have been working to establish a neighborhood association through assistance from West Ashley Connects.

SUBDIVISION


No written comments submitted.

TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMITTEE (TRC) APPEAL

1. Cane Slash Rd (Cane Slash Subdivision – Johns Island) TMS # 3450000007 & 023 – approx. 30.2 acres. Request an appeal of the Staff’s determination to deny a Conservation Development Pre-Application per Zoning Code Sec. 54-299.61. Property zoned Single-Family Residential (SR-1).

No written comments submitted.

ORDINANCE AMENDMENTS

Three (3) written comments submitted in opposition. One (1) written comment submitted in favor.

Katie Mallory, 115 Coming St: I would like the committee to defer the decision. We were not notified of this proposed district prior to the signs being posted. We, as neighbors, would like time to be able to research potential implications of this district and how it will affect our quality of life.

Laura Esenstein, 4 Beaufain St: Owner - Resident - Taxpayer - April 20, 2022

Opposition to Proposed New Zoning District in Code: 1. Student Housing Overlay Zone Public Hearing As a full-time resident and paying property owner living on Beaufain St. just off King St. and who doesn’t own a car because there is no place to park it downtown, I am strongly opposed, for reasons cited below, to the Proposed New Zoning District: Student Housing Overlay Zone in Historic Downtown Charleston!

1. As the College of Charleston, by state law, does not pay any property tax to the City of Charleston, this Ordinance proposed New Zoning District in Code: 1. Student Housing Overlay Zone in Historic Downtown Charleston! 1. 

2. The City of Charleston prides itself and its annual high rankings as a major tourist destination for visitors from the US and around the world. King Street is already crowded with students attending C of C and visitors, creating a real obstacle for homeowners in the Downtown Historic District to walk the street, to shop and drive to services such as groceries stores, appointments on the peninsula and events at places like Charleston Music Hall, Dock Street Theatre, the Galliard, and more. So now you plan to make this an area overrun by rowdy, disrespectful college students. Do you all really care about your taxing residents and small business owners? 3.

A population made up of primarily college students brings loud noise, latenight parties, drug dealing, sexual assaults, trash on all the streets, bicycles everywhere, more bars and music/dance venues to an area of Lower King that depends primarily on tourism, commercial retail, fine dining, and high-end shopping! How do the new owners of Charleston Place feel about this proposal? 4. How did all the exclusive long-tenured shops along King St. between Hasell and George streets manage to get excluded from the proposed student housing overlay Dumas, Crogans, the Worthwhile, Hampdens, etc.? Is it who you know on City Council that makes the difference as to whether you are not included in this zone? 5.

How doesthistypeofstudenthousingsupportandimpacttherecentlyCouncil enacted King Street Business Improvement District (BID) already mapped on King St. from Line St. to Broad St.? All property owners (residential, business, rental) in the commercially zoned buildings will pay an annual assessment (TAX) to support the BID! 1/2 The proposed student housing overlay on King St. from Radcliffe St. to Beaufain St. with the exception of the east side of King from Beaufain to George St. will kill the small businesses on King St. who rely primarily on tourism in the zone! P. 2 Laura J. Isenstein, 4 Beaufain St., 29401 - Owner - Resident - Taxpayer - April 20, 2022

Opposition to Proposed New Zoning District in Code: 1/2 Student Housing Overlay Zone To a “reasonable person” it appears that beautifying King Street using the BID to welcome and encourage visitors to spend dollars will be a totally unnecessary as it is directly at odds with a Student Housing zoning overlay for the majority of the very same properties mapped and approved BID overlay! 6. If C of C has outgrown its available student housing, that is the College’s problem not the City of Charleston’s. C of C has a horrible reputation of maintaining their existing student residences, i.e., my niece lived in Berry! C of C needs to build more Student Housing and ample parking elsewhere on the Peninsula and bus those students to campus as they have in done with the apartment building constructed several years ago at the East Bay St. exit off the Ravenel Bridge coming from Mt. Pleasant! Announced last week, two private developer-built large apartment complexes for C of C students on upper King
(Hoffler Place) and Meeting (the Summit) are for sale as they didn’t get the occupancy and profits they anticipated. So whose really behind this overlay effort and why is it even necessary? 7. Many C of C students already live in homes and apartments surrounding the campus not included in the proposed overlay zone. Ask the residential neighbors, many who are college faculty in those communities how satisfied they are with having college students as neighbors? 8. Roper Hospital will be vacating its hospital space in the next several years, why doesn’t C of C or a developer buy that facility and parking and convert to student housing? In this scenario no City tax dollars are lost as hospitals don’t pay property taxes!

Henry Golabek, 63 Warren St: Radcliffeborough Neighborhood Association was not notified or included in the discussion of this proposal. Only after seeing the signage posted on utility poles did we learn of it. Our biggest concern would be the inclusion of the Charleston Water System building and it’s parking lot. We seek deferral so that we can be discuss the proposal with it’s originators.

Anthony McAlister, 106 Pitt St, Mt Pleasant, SC: This is long overdue and I commend City Leadership and City Staff for focusing on this extremely important livability issue. Our team, along with the College of Charleston, the City Staff, and neighborhood leadership have worked for over 25 years on an effort to move students on or adjacent to campus where they desire to live most. The neighborhoods will be the most positively impacted beneficiary of the Student Housing Overlay Zone. This is a further extension of the solution that has been an ongoing effort since the early 1990’s. Every bed built on or adjacent to campus relieves pressure on our valuable neighborhoods. One very important item I hope will be debated and discussed is affordability. Affordable student housing has become a crisis level issue to students and parents of the College of Charleston.

2. To amend Article 2 (Land Use Regulations), Part 1 (Descriptions of Base Zoning Districts and Overlay Zones), sub-section 54-201.d. (Base zoning districts - Single-Family Residential) of Chapter 54 of the Code of the City of Charleston (Zoning Ordinance) to correct a scrivener’s error. 

No written comments submitted.

3. To amend Article 2 (Land Use Regulations), Part 3 (Table of Permitted Uses) of Chapter 54 of the Code of the City of Charleston (Zoning Ordinance) to correct a scrivener’s error. 

No written comments submitted.

ZONING

1. 1890 and 1886 Ashley River Rd, 1407 Adele St (Ancrum Hill – West Ashley) TMS # 3510700027, 028 and 048 – approx. 0.35 acre. Request zoning of Commercial Transitional (CT). Zoned Ashley River Road Corridor Community Commercial Overlay District (OD.ARRC_CC), Neighborhood Commercial, General Office, and Single-Family Residential (R-4) in Charleston County.

No written comments submitted.

2. 2931 Maybank Hwy (Johns Island) TMS # 3130000092 approx. 0.61 acre. Request zoning of Commercial Transitional (CT). Zoned Maybank Highway Corridor Overlay District (OD_MHC) and Limited Commercial (LC) in Charleston County.

No written comments submitted.

No written comments submitted.

4. 2158 and 2154 Westrivers Rd (Riverland Terrace – James Island) TMS # 3430100115 and 136 approx. 0.48 acre. Request zoning of Single-Family Residential (SR-1). Zoned Single-Family Residential (R-4) in Charleston County.

No written comments submitted.
April 19, 2022

Christopher T Morgan, AICP
Planning Manager
City of Charleston – Planning, Preservation & Sustainability
2 George Street, Third Floor
Charleston, SC 29401
morganc@charleston-sc.gov

Re: Proposed Rezoning for Clements Ferry Road

My name is Chris Bergner and I am the HOA president for the Beresford Hall Assembly, which is located directly across from the proposed SR2 rezoning and the future 320 unit apartment project adjacent to Mikasa. As one of the largest impacted adjacent property owners, the Assembly was completely unaware of the proposed rezoning and was only informed two weeks ago via social media regarding the proposed zoning change. First and foremost, neither the owner of the property nor the rezoning applicant reached out prior to applying for this instant rezoning. Consequently, the Assembly is completely in the dark and has real concerns.

The Assembly’s biggest concern is the transportation consequences associated with this residential rezoning. According to SCDOT, Clements Ferry will still have a failing rating even after the widening due to projected growth in the area not including the new proposed distribution center located off Jack Primus Rd. Purely based on transportation trip generation, SR2 will increase traffic and trips compared to existing Light Industrial zoning. That being said the Assembly can’t support a project that will increase traffic along Clements Ferry Road.

At a minimum, the Assembly would request that Planning Staff and TRC require a traffic study to be performed for the entire Jack Primus / Clements Ferry intersection. Currently, the south side of the intersection fails at peak times due to the extended que time for Clements Ferry, lack of left turn lane (South) and trucks exiting the Mikasa site. The addition of trips generated from the SR2 rezoning will only worsen an existing problem. The best solution to this issue would be the addition of a turn lane or leading left on the south side of the intersection.

The Assembly is not against development of the Property, and it welcomes further engagement with the owner and applicant.

If you would please read this into the record at tomorrow night’s meeting. I would greatly appreciate it.

Sincerely,

Chris Bergner
President, Beresford Hall Board of Governors
April 20, 2022

Christopher Morgan  
City of Charleston  
Department of Planning, Preservation, & Sustainability  
2 George Street, Suite 3100  
Charleston, SC 29401

Re:  Student Housing Overlay Zone

Dear Christopher:

The Harleston Village Association ("HVA") has long supported the City’s desire to encourage the development of student housing within close proximity to the College of Charleston in the hopes of reducing the student population within the surrounding Peninsula neighborhoods. To that end, we are optimistic that a carefully crafted student overlay would benefit the surrounding neighborhoods.

However, HVA opposes the proposed student overlay on tonight’s agenda. HVA opposes the proposal for several reasons. First, the City did not contact the neighborhoods or otherwise seek input from those citizens most directly effected prior to submitting the proposal for the agenda. Second, the boundaries of the proposed overlay would increase the probability that student housing would be constructed in Harleston Village and Radcliffeborough. Finally, the expedited nature of this proposed overlay enhances the likelihood that the language in the proposal does not adequately specify the terms of use for the overlay.

Accordingly, we ask that the City voluntarily withdraw the proposals such that the neighborhoods may participate in the process from the start.

With kind regards, I am,

Very truly yours,

/s/ Scott W. Anthony
Scott W. Anthony, President
Harleston Village Association

CC: Seekings, Mike: mike@seekingslaw.com
April 6, 2022

Mayor John Tecklenburg and Members of City Council
c/o Ms. Vanessa Turner Maybank
80 Broad Street
Charleston, SC 29401

RE: Annexation petition
1888 Ashley River Road
1890 Ashley River Road
1407 Adel Street

Dear Mayor Tecklenburg and Members of City Council:

The April 12, 2022 City Council agenda includes a petition of annexation for three properties located in West Ashley into the City of Charleston. The Charleston Redevelopment Corporation ("CRC") strongly recommends approval of this petition.

As you are aware, the CRC is a non-profit organization formed by the City of Charleston, the Historic Charleston Foundation and the Charleston Housing Authority. The CRC focuses on the development and maintenance of affordable housing in the Charleston area and helps secure local, state and national funding for long-term housing affordability. The CRC includes the Palmetto Community Land Trust, which places land in long-term trust protection to ensure affordability and accessibility for future generations. Since 2019 the CRC has acquired Sea Islands Apartments on Johns Island, and the North Central Apartments downtown. The organization has constructed new single family homes in Rosemont and Maryville/Ashleyville, and is financing numerous other projects throughout the City.

The CRC owns the three properties and plans to develop them into affordable housing options.

Thank you for your consideration of this request. We appreciate the City’s support of efforts to enhance affordable housing in Charleston.

Sincerely,

Eli Poliakoff
Chairman, Board of Directors
Charleston Redevelopment Corporation
Palmetto Community Land Trust

F.A. Johnson II
Chief Executive Officer
Charleston Redevelopment Corporation
Palmetto Community Land Trust