

Charleston Citizen Police Advisory Council

A meeting of the Charleston Citizen's Police Advisory Council was held this date beginning at 5:00 p.m., virtually over Zoom.

Notice of the meeting was sent to all local news media.

PRESENT: Chair Paul Tamburrino, Thuane Fielding, Vice-Chair Thomas Hummel, Jamie Khan, Philip Lucier, Harrison McIver, Leonardo Reeder, David Saulnier, Liliana Taylor, Imogene Thomas, Colby Hollifield (arrived 5:07 p.m.).

Also Present: Jillian Eidson, Jerome Harris, Jerome Smalls, Peter Guizar, Steve Ruemelin, Chito Walker, Anthony Cretella, Jason Bruder, Amber Campbell-Moore, [Member of the Public], and Suzanne Hardie

Link: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YT4jwCXh17I&t=1519s>

Call to Order/Welcome

Chair Tamburrino called the meeting to order.

Roll Call

Ms. Eidson called roll.

Chair Tamburrino called on Councilmember Reeder to introduce himself.

Councilmember Reeder gave a brief overview of his history and experience with public safety and community service.

Citizen Participation

Mr. Harris said that he was there as the former Vice-Chair of CPAC and reminded the councilmembers of the ERA report's criticism of CPAC's effectiveness and ability to do community outreach. CPAC needed to figure out what it took for them to be effective in their communications with the CPD and community. The CPD was developing its budget, and they needed to allocate some of that to create an effective citizen survey. CPAC needed to be involved with providing the Department with more resources. They would have public hearings in October, which could be an opportunity for CPAC to communicate with them effectively. He said that community advisory boards had questions that CPAC might want to consider. He said that he had enjoyed working with CPAC and the Department, but they needed to know each other better in terms of skills and capabilities. He encouraged them to do team bonding work.

Approval of Minutes

On a motion by Councilmember Khan, seconded by Councilmember Saulnier, the minutes for June 6, 2024 were unanimously approved.

Election of CPAC Vice-Chair to complete 2024 Term

Chair Tamburrino thanked Mr. Harris for his service in CPAC.

He asked if there were any nominations for the position of CPAC Vice-Chair.

Councilmember Hummel nominated himself for the position.

On a motion by Councilmember Hummel, seconded by Councilmember McIver, Councilmember Hummel was unanimously voted in to the position of Vice-Chair.

CPD Status Update - Chief Walker

Captain Cretella said that the Department had just finished their second session of the Charleston Police Executive Leadership Summit. He explained that it was a grant given to the Department by the Charleston Historic Rotary and the Lens Foundation in order to bring in members from other police Departments. The three objectives of the grant were professional self-development, lessons in leadership, and community involvement. As of finishing their second session, they had had thirteen different agencies within South Carolina participate, and twenty-eight graduates.

They would have Citizen's Police Academy in the fall, and Chief Walker encouraged CPAC members who had not participated to do so. It would allow them an unbiased opinion of the CPD and better understanding of Department responsibilities.

The Department was succeeding in recruitment intention. For them to continue to be successful, they encouraged CPAC to get members of their community interested in joining the Department.

Chair Tamburrino asked if there were any questions for Captain Cretella. As there were none, they moved on.

Vacancy Update - 4 Vacancies (District 6, District 7, District 8, Mayor Selection - Student) -

Steve Ruemelin

Chair Tamburrino asked Mr. Ruemelin for updates on CPAC vacancies.

Mr. Ruemelin said that there were four vacancies, two of which had nominations which would go forward for approval at the City Council meeting later that month. District 6 still did not have a nomination and the Mayor's student appointment was still open.

Chair Tamburrino said that he had asked Mr. Smalls to reach out through SROs of local schools to see if they needed to send a candidate to the Mayor.

He asked if there were any questions for Mr. Ruemelin about the vacancies. As there were none they moved on.

Term Limit Motion failed Public Safety Approval (Note attached for minutes)

Chair Tamburrino said that the City Council had rejected the motion to change the term limits. The written rejection note was included at the end of these minutes.

CPAC Chair Update - Paul Tamburrino

Chair Tamburrino said that he had talked to Chief Walker about different logistics ideas and the Department's plans for doing a brief for the public. Chief Walker had told him that he was going to start delegating duties to his Deputy Chiefs

Subcommittee Reports - Written reports to be submitted in advance

Chair Tamburrino gave a brief summary of the Executive Subcommittee meeting, the notes for which were included at the end of these minutes.

The Policies and Procedures Subcommittee did not have a report prepared for the meeting.

Councilmember Khan gave a brief summary of the Complaint Subcommittee meeting.

Chair Tamburrino asked Councilmember Reeder if he was interested in joining the Complaint Subcommittee.

Councilmember Saulnier went over the events of the ERA Subcommittee meeting, the notes for which were included at the end of these minutes.

Councilmember Fielding asked Councilmember Saulnier if there was a more formal process for collecting data for the CPD to report.

Ms. Eidson said that the data being used was the same being provided to the initial ERA Report.

Councilmember Fielding asked if they were still using Field Data Cards.

Ms. Eidson said they were.

Councilmember Fielding said that there was a question of accuracy about the Field Data Cards and whether or not the information was being adjusted before being entered into the CPD's system. She was wondering if officers were immediately entering the data into their car computers while being recorded by their bodycams in order to ensure accuracy.

Ms. Eidson asked the sworn officers on the call if the Field Contact Cards were immediately completed or completed at the end of their shift.

Captain McLaughlin and Captain Cretella confirmed that officers had to enter information immediately but would be asked to fill in missing data after the submission.

Councilmember Fielding thanked them.

Chair Tamburrino asked if Internal Affairs audited bodycam footage.

Captain McLaughlin said that they audited the amount of bodycam footage recorded and the Field Data Cards, as well as random spot checks in the event of usage of force. They needed a larger Compliance Office in order to spot check more frequently.

Councilmember Saulnier said that when they got the final format of the 2024 data by the end of the first quarter of 2025, it would be difficult to analyze it because the 2023 data wouldn't be coming directly from the CPD. They needed to wait for the trends to manifest over time.

Chair Tamburrino asked if they were working to get the 2022 data.

Councilmember Saulnier said they were.

Chair Tamburrino asked if Councilmember Saulnier would speak about Chief Walker's ERA report.

Councilmember Saulnier said they were going to wait for the upcoming public forum meeting to gather more information from the community.

Chair Tamburrino said the CPD had attended initial feedback sessions as observers to develop their answers. He asked Ms. Eidson when they released their actual report.

Ms. Eidson said that it was released on June 17 at the Committee on Public Safety.

Chair Tamburrino said that there was a desire for public feedback to the report released by the CPD. They made a request of the Department to have a public forum to discuss their ERA Report response, and the Department said they were willing to attend CPAC to answer public questions within the constraints of the council. In order to allow the public to have the most time to learn about and participate in the forum, he asked to push the meeting back to a Special CPAC meeting or October. He wanted the ERA and Communications subcommittees to have sessions with community groups beforehand to come up with questions to present to the CPD before the public forum.

Councilmember Saulnier said he would be on vacation for the next two weeks.

Councilmember Khan said that he thought it was a good idea to not rush it, but that a Special Meeting made sense.

Councilmember McIver asked if there was a reason given why the CPD did not want to do the meeting in partnership with CPAC.

Chair Tamburrino said that City Council asked that question, and the Department said they were going to present at CPAC. He and Chief Walker had discussed doing so in the past.

Councilmember Hollifield said it made sense in the long-term to get the message out to the public. He suggested that they could have meetings each year dedicated to public communication.

Chair Tamburrino said that Vice-Chair Hummel had been tasked with immediately reaching out to the media to publicize their meetings.

He asked [Member of the Public] what she thought of the plan.

[Member of the Public] said that she thought it was a good basic plan and that they did not need to rush to fix things. She said she was unsure how they were going to engage with large groups of the public.

Chair Tamburrino said that it was something they had been working on. They had feedback forums for different subcommittees to distribute to the surrounding community. He asked if [Member of the Public] had any recommendations for CPAC in improving their communication with the public.

Councilmember Thomas suggested they provide citizen participation with parameters and give them limited categories to speak on to avoid repeated comments.

Chair Tamburrino said that in a special session he could create a 20-minute period of citizen participation where people could ask questions or make comments. The CPD needed to hit the highlights of their report, so they weren't repeating information. If CPAC received feedback about how many people wanted to speak before a certain date, they could organize the time around that.

Ms. Hardie said that her concern was how they would communicate with citizens who did not have access to the internet. CAJM was a focused group with an entire community with concerns they wanted voiced.

Chair Tamburrino called on Mr. Harris.

Mr. Harris said that timing was critical, and questioned how the Department would feed community feedback into the development of their Strategic Plan. He said the language in their report was technical and that Councilmembers Saulnier and Hummel needed to make the report accessible in the language for the community feedback sessions.

Amber Campbell-Moore said that she was confused about how the Department was going to respond to the community questions. She asked if they were going to solicit questions from the community ahead of time for the Department to craft a response to questions about their report.

Chair Tamburrino said that their plan was for the Department to attend the meeting and give their report. If the Department had time to prep for questions before the meeting, then they would be able to answer them instead of telling people to refer back to the report. He had spoken with Chief Walker about this before, and he said to just look for results to manifest instead of repeating questions.

Councilmember Khan asked if the format being discussed originated from the community organizations.

Chair Tamburrino said that it predominantly started with CAJM. HAARC was similar to CAJM, but it lacked the same number of those involved.

Mr. Harris said that HAARC was an organization chartered by the City, like CPAC, and was therefore not a community group.

Councilmember Khan asked if the reason they were still talking about the ERA Report was that people wanted to ask questions about the response report.

Chair Tamburrino said that the reason. He said that CAJM facilitated most of the public involvement with the initial ERA Report. While the Department had representatives at the public forum for the initial Report, they were not there to answer questions, only give the report. There was more public response to the Department's report than to the ERA Report itself. The role of CPAC was to facilitate communication between the public and Department by getting those questions to them.

He asked if there were any comments or questions. As there were none, they moved on to the next subcommittee.

Vice-Chair Hummel gave a summary of the first Communications Subcommittee meeting, the notes for which were included at the end of these minutes. He asked CPAC to give feedback to questions posed by Ms. Hardie during that meeting.

Ms. Campbell-Moore said that she listened to the Communications Subcommittee and she liked that they were trying to have more ways to communicate with the public. She said that it showed community engagement instead of just disseminating information.

Chair Tamburrino said they appreciated that. He called on Suzanne Hardie to speak.

Ms. Hardie declined to speak.

Chair Tamburrino called on Jerome Smalls to speak.

CPD Youth Outreach Program - Jerome Smalls

Mr. Smalls gave a presentation about himself to CPAC. He briefly went over his education and history with the City and his role within the CPD. It was his desire to help transform the CPD and their relationship with the youth of the community.

He asked if there were any questions.

Councilmember Hollifield asked Mr. Smalls what he was doing to get ahold of youths who were starting to be recruited into gangs.

Mr. Smalls said that they were working with community-based organizations that did Credible Messengers—which was a term referring to people who had been formally incarcerated doing mentorships. There were several of those in the region, and they needed the organizational support the CPD could provide.

Chair Tamburrino asked if there were any questions. He told Mr. Smalls to connect with Vice-Chair Hummel for future projects.

Councilmember McIver asked Mr. Smalls how he pictured working with CPAC.

Mr. Smalls said that they needed to get more young people on CPAC. He asked what kind of information CPAC wanted him to bring from schools when he interacted with the young people there. He would continue to bring CPAC recommendations from the youth.

Councilmember Saulnier said that he would appreciate if Mr. Smalls gave his contact information to Vice-Chair Hummel and himself.

Chair Tamburrino said they needed to do a youth outreach.

Mr. Smalls said that they were working to meet them where they're at and encouraged CPAC to attend the youth events hosted by the CPD.

Chair Tamburrino thanked Mr. Smalls. He called on Peter Guizar.

CPD Hispanic Community Coordinator - Peter Guizar

Mr. Guizar had technical difficulties, so they moved on to the next presentation.

CPD Updates:

Ms. Eidson said that if they wanted to watch the CPD's final presentation on the Racial Bias Audit, they could do so on the City's YouTube channel. She said that the Smart Policing Grant had been approved, and their next step was releasing an RFP (Request For Proposal) to bring on a technological company to help them export data onto their new system, which would help them share with the community.

They were sending Deputy Chief Thompson to a Community Problem Oriented Policing conference in Baltimore. He would give a presentation on the CPD's commitment to that method of policing and the Smart Policing Grant.

They were working on developing data templates to outline the kind of information they would be collecting. They would start looking at data generated by the department to select hotspots that would be randomized into treatment and control sites. They would present the choices to CPAC and ask them to help with community outreach in those areas.

She asked for CPAC members to turn in photos of themselves to Vice-Chair Hummel to add to the CPAC webpage.

Mr. Guizar introduced himself as the Department's Hispanic Community Coordinator. He gave a brief overview of his responsibilities and job.

Chair Tamburrino asked if anyone had any questions for Mr. Guizar.

Councilmember McIver said that he would wait to ask them at another meeting where he could have more time to speak.

Chair Tamburrino spoke at length about the relationship between the Department and Spanish speaking immigrants and how the Department needed to overcome the community's fear of the police.

He called on Captain Mitchell.

Community-Oriented Policing Division - Captain Mitchell

Captain Mitchell went over the events that the CPD had recently completed. Camp Hope and the first Back To School Bash had finished, and the second Back To School Bash would be held August 3 at Gadsden Green, 22 and 24 Flood Street. National Night Out would be on August 6 starting at 5:00 p.m.. The last Back To School Bash would be held August 10 at Waters at West Ashley 1900 Hazelwood Blvd.

Chair Tamburrino asked if there were any questions for Captain Mitchell.

Councilmember Saulnier asked what National Night Out was.

Captain Mitchell said that it was a national day, they would have a game and the horses and other special units would be there to participate in the game. They would be there to talk to the community.

Councilmember Thomas said that she and her husband had ordered tickets for that event online and they would participate in the event. She said they would be helping with the youth and wherever the officers needed them.

Chair Tamburrino asked for people to reach out to Councilmember Thomas if they wanted to help.

New Business

Chair Tamburrino asked if there was new business.

As there was no new business to discuss they moved on.

Adjournment

Having no further business, CPAC adjourned at 6:39 p.m..

Mae-Lee Colwell

Clerk of Council's Office

Communications Subcommittee

Meeting Summary

July 23, 2024 / 2:00-3:00pm / Virtual Meeting

****Attendees:**** Thomas Hummel (Chair), David Saulnier, Phillip Lucier, Harrison McIver, Imogene Thomas, Jillian Eidson, Lt. Anthony Gibson, Sgt. Chris Stinson, Steve Ruemelin.

Thomas Hummel provided an overview of the Communications Subcommittee and stated that this subcommittee would be revamping, as it had not met in some time. The meeting began with three public comments. The citizens who spoke were Jerry Harris, Suzanne Hardie, a CAJM representative, and Amber Campbell. Ms. Hardie emphasized the need for greater transparency from CPD regarding the release of the racial bias report. She followed up by asking questions about the role of the Communications Subcommittee in conjunction with CPD and requested a meeting with the Subcommittee. Thomas Hummel asked for her contact information to arrange this meeting.

Following the public comments, Lt. Anthony Gibson was introduced and provided an overview of his new role as the Commander for the Office of Public Affairs (chartered in April 2024). He currently oversees recruitment, dispatch, and serves as a liaison to the communications department. Lt. Gibson then discussed one of his department's main goals: continuing the creation of the new CPD website. He explained that this is a large and intricate task, being constructed in-house by CPD. Thomas Hummel asked for a timeline on the website's completion, but Lt. Gibson was unable to provide one at this time.

Lt. Gibson then discussed CPD's next project, News Room, which will function as a subscription service to receive real-time alerts, information, and news directly from CPD. This service will prevent citizens from having to rely on news channels or social media for the latest information released by CPD. The alerts and messages will be available via text or email. There was a discussion about advertising this service in the City of Charleston's monthly newsletter. Lt. Gibson stated that this is in process and that he has been in communication with the City. He also mentioned that the CPD Facebook page reached over 4 million views last year and gained over 8,000 new followers.

Lt. Gibson asked the Communications Subcommittee to assist him with the following objectives:

1. Help establish a presence for CPAC on the CPD website.
 - Application: Thomas Hummel volunteered to spearhead this task and will work directly with Lt. Gibson to collect all the bios of CPAC members. Immediately after the meeting, Thomas Hummel emailed the CPAC members and requested each member provide their bio no later than August 2.
2. Be a liaison to the community and help assist CPD in continually communicating with the community.
 - Application: Spread the word to each person's sphere of influence (e.g., HOA meetings). Lt. Gibson informed members that CPD is happy to speak at any neighborhood meetings about CPD activities.

3. Continue the effort to expand on the ERA Recommendations.
 - Application: There are six main action items that the ERA Subcommittee recommended be handled by the Communications Subcommittee. Three were discussed in detail today (2.3, 4, and 17). The remaining will be discussed at a later meeting.

Thomas Hummel then asked David Saulnier to discuss some of the ERA Subcommittee recommendations for the Communications Subcommittee to address. Recommendation 2.3 was discussed, which involves plans to help alert the community in advance about strategies developed by CPD. It was mentioned that this would be a great opportunity for News Room to provide this information.

Recommendation 4 suggests that CPD create a video, no more than 15 minutes long, about what citizens should do during a vehicle stop. It was suggested that a 15-minute video would be too long, and that the video should be between 1-1.5 minutes. Lt. Gibson asked CPAC to narrow down the exact type of video, specific targeted audience, and logistics before moving forward. Thomas Hummel mentioned that he would bring this up at the next CPAC meeting for suggestions.

Recommendation 17 discussed CPD's annual training needs assessment. Jillian Eidson mentioned that CPD conducts a yearly internal survey to recommend training methods. All CPD employees are also required to complete 40 hours of "Block Training" per year, covering various topics.

There was no new business discussed, and the meeting was adjourned.

CAJM Response 1)

Good afternoon,

My name is Amber Campbell-Moore and I'm a representative of the Charleston Area Justice Ministry. As an organization we are concerned about the report published by the CPD in June, regarding the racial bias audit. For the purposes of today's meeting I want to highlight the portion of the report in connection with the role of CPAC. On page 40 of the report, it states that "the CPD's formal communication and feedback mechanism with the public is and will continue to be the CPAC." But our concern is the CNA recommendations noted CPAC has had poor participation rates for reasons the assessment was unable to provide. So, the question begs, has a plan of action been developed to better engage and inform the community? Will you work with multiple community groups to hear and understand problems or progress? Lastly, has CPAC done an assessment of its own capabilities of expanding its capacity to handle the responsibility that the CPD has placed on this committee? Thank you for your time and consideration of our questions. We look forward to continuing to work with you to best serve our community.

--

CAJM Response 2)

I'm here representing many voices in our community who are concerned about the ongoing issues of trust and transparency between the Charleston Police Department and the people they serve.

It's been four years since the Racial Bias Audit called for CPD to build trust through open communication. The recent ERA shows this still hasn't happened. We're worried, but we're also here to help make positive changes.

Many of us joined the community forum earlier this year, hoping for real dialogue. But progress has stalled. Now, we see the ERA summary shifting responsibility for community engagement to CPAC. This raises questions for us.

We believe genuine engagement means CPD meeting with people from all parts of our community, truly listening to our concerns, and using our input to shape their plans. It's about ongoing, open dialogue, even when it's tough.

We need clarity on a few points:

1. Who's leading community engagement - CPAC or CPD?
2. What's the Communications subcommittee's role?
3. If it's CPAC, do they have the resources for this crucial work?
4. If it's CPD, how will CPAC support and monitor their efforts?

Our community is ready for action. When can we expect a concrete plan? How can we help shape it?

We're not just asking questions; we're offering our help. We're ready to work with you to build the trust and transparency we all want to see.

Can we arrange a meeting to discuss this further? By working together, we can create positive change for everyone in Charleston.

Thank you for your time. We look forward to your response and to collaborating for our community's benefit.

CPAC Guidelines Change Response

Hi Paul,

Thank you for your email and for your continued dedication to the Citizens Police Advisory Council (CPAC). I appreciate the hard work and commitment you and the other members have shown in serving our community.

As you know, extending the term limits would take 3 readings of City Council. In speaking one on one with some of our council members, I do not believe we would have enough support for

this to pass. The Council members I have spoken with believe current term limits for CPAC members is essential.

The reasons for our term limits are as follows:

1. **Inclusivity and Representation** : Term limits ensure that more community members have the opportunity to participate and contribute to CPAC. This allows for a broader range of perspectives and ideas, which is vital for a committee that advises on such critical matters.
2. **Fresh Perspectives** : Regularly bringing in new members helps to infuse fresh ideas and viewpoints into the discussions. This diversity in thought is crucial for the dynamic and evolving nature of community-police relations.
3. **Community Engagement** : By rotating membership, we encourage more citizens to become actively involved in civic matters. This promotes greater community engagement and a stronger connection between the citizens and their local government.
4. **Balanced Workload** : Serving on CPAC is a demanding role. Term limits help to prevent burnout and ensure that members remain energized and effective in their duties.

While we deeply value the experience and expertise of our current members, we believe that the benefits of term limits ultimately serve the best interests of the community and CPAC's mission.

I look forward to continuing our work together and appreciate your understanding and support in maintaining these term limits.

Sincerely,

Kevin Shealy

Charleston City Council District 2

[City Council Webpage](#)

The City of Charleston, SC

Citizen's Police Advisory Council (CPAC)

External Review and Assessment (ERA) Subcommittee

Meeting Minutes

July 2, 2024 / 3:00-4:00pm / Virtual Meeting

A quorum was present with the following ERA Sub-Committee members present: David Saulnier (Chair) and Joe Krause. Thuane Fielding was unable to attend and Jerry Harris is no longer a member of CPAC.

Additional attendees included: Jillian Eidson, CPT Mitchell, CPT Cretellaa, Steve Ruemelin, Phil Lucier, Doris Grant, Leo Gavin and Imogene Thomas.

There was no citizen participation for this meeting.

With a quorum present, the meeting began. David Saulnier gave an overview of a June 11th, 2024 meeting he and Jerry Harris held with CPT Bruder, David Crosby and Jillian Eidson at CPD HQ. The purpose was to discuss Vehicle Stop Data reporting. At this time Vehicle Stop reporting is not standardized or regularly reported. It was noted that there is a detailed video presentation by CPT Bruder from 2022 and some year end reporting in the Police's 2022 Annual Report. It was also noted that there was no Vehicle Stop Data reporting since that time, including in the 2023 Annual Report. Mr. Saulnier and Mr. Harris presented the CPD with an Excel Spreadsheet (See Below) that attempted to outline some data points that CPD should consider reporting in the future. The meeting ended without any commitment to get Vehicle Stop Data reporting completed in the near term due primarily to personnel limitations and lack of reporting infrastructure/data.

Jill Eidson then updated the ERA SC with a **commitment that CPD will have Vehicle Stop Data reporting in the 2024 Annual Report** and each year there-after. CPD will work throughout 2023 to create some mock reporting which will be shared with CPAC/ERA Sub-Committee. Mr. Saulnier stressed that 2023 Vehicle Stop Data must be included in order to begin to be able to see trend information. Mr. Saulnier also stated that CPAC understands that the data may not be perfectly comparable; however, CPAC/ERA Sub-Committee are willing to work with CPD to make sure that the reporting is properly noted (use of *) where comparisons may not be possible due to different data being collected over the years. Over time it would be expected that the reporting will become more "apples-to-apples".

--

Action Item 1: David Saulnier and the ERA Sub-Committee will continue to inquire as to progress on mock up reporting by CPD for 2023 and 2024.

Action Item 2: David Saulnier and the ERA Sub-Committee will reach out to Community Groups to keep everyone aware of the progress on Vehicle Stop Data reporting.

With no additional discussion the meeting was adjourned.

VEHICLE STOPS

of vehicle stops

Traffic Unit
City Wide

of vehicle stops as a percentage of # of citizen encounters

of arrests due to vehicle stops

Officer Demographics
Driver Demographics

of use of force during a vehicle stop

of complaints related to a vehicle stop

Reported via Use of Force???

Officer Demographics
Driver Demographics
Administrative Investigation
Dispositions

of compliments due to a vehicle stop

Officer Demographics
Driver Demographics

of officers that conducted a vehicle stop that year

Team Unit conducting the vehicle stop

Reason for conducting the vehicle stop (Probable Cause or Reasonable Suspicion)

Reason for conducting the vehicle stop (CITZ, OTHR, SUPT, SUSV, etc)

Not At Fault Collision Data for X? Race of drivers

Body Worn Camera Turned on (Y/N)

Outcome of the vehicle stop (TSC, TSW, etc)

Sworn Personnel Demographics

White
Black
Asian-Pacific
Hispanic
Other

Male
Female
Other

Age

Driver Demographics

White
Black
Asian-Pacific
Hispanic
Other

Male
Female
Other

Age

Day of the Week

Time of the Day

QUESTION -

Can CPD report on STOPS OF PEOPLE WALKING

If YES, then this reporting should mimic above reporting.